

Box of Ideal Gas in Free Fall

Dawood Kothawala*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of New Brunswick,
Fredericton, NB, Canada E3B 5A3

(Dated: September 1, 2011)

We study the *quantum* partition function of non-relativistic, ideal gas in a (non-cubical) box falling freely in arbitrary curved spacetime with centre 4-velocity u_{BOX}^a . Using perturbed energy eigenvalues to evaluate the canonical partition function, we find that corrections to various thermodynamic quantities such as mean energy, entropy and specific heat include a very specific, sub-dominant term characterized by the dimensionless quantity, $\Xi = R_{\hat{0}\hat{0}}\Lambda^2$, where $R_{\hat{0}\hat{0}} = R_{ab}u_{\text{BOX}}^a u_{\text{BOX}}^b$ and $\Lambda = \beta\hbar c$. This Ξ -contribution does not depend on *kinematic* details of the system such as box dimensions and mass of particles, and in particular leads to $S_\Xi = (1/2)\beta U_\Xi$ (see text), a relation familiar from black hole thermodynamics. What is curious is that our result depends crucially on quantum mechanics since, in effect, the gas is allowed to “feel” the presence of the box through use of unperturbed wave function satisfying appropriate boundary conditions at the box walls. This is the feature which a *classical* analysis will completely miss. Our result might bear relevance in several contexts, such as analyses related to the generalized second law, a better understanding of horizon thermodynamics, and the “emergent gravity” viewpoint.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the light of several intriguing connections between gravity and thermodynamics discovered over the past few years (see [1] for a recent review), it is perhaps useful to go back and study thermal properties of “normal” systems (such as ideal gas) in presence of gravity [2]. The importance of this cannot be overemphasized, since it is possible that certain features of black hole thermodynamics are simply features of standard thermodynamic systems when curvature of spacetime is accounted for in the analysis of the latter [3]. But of course we know that black hole thermodynamics is inherently quantum mechanical in origin, and hence one may not learn anything “drastically” new from curvature corrections to standard systems using *classical* statistical mechanics; at best, classical analysis would yield terms representing tidal forces (needed to hold the box together) etc. It is more interesting to ask whether a *quantum mechanical* calculation can yield any new information. It is this particular question which we address in this note, in the context of one of the simplest thermodynamic systems – a box of ideal gas. We consider such a box of ideal gas in an arbitrary curved spacetime, with its center freely falling along a geodesic u_{BOX} , and compute corrections to the partition function due to spacetime curvature. And indeed, we find that all thermodynamic quantities acquire a specific correction term (besides others) which is independent of system details such as box dimensions and mass of particles. This term is characterized by the dimensionless quantity $\Xi = R_{\hat{0}\hat{0}}\Lambda^2$, where $R_{\hat{0}\hat{0}} = R_{ab}u_{\text{BOX}}^a u_{\text{BOX}}^b$ and $\Lambda = \beta\hbar c$. We highlight several features of this Ξ -contribution, in particular the fact that $S_\Xi = (1/2)\beta U_\Xi$,

a relation which is familiar from black hole thermodynamics. It would be worthwhile to investigate other possible implications of these corrections from the viewpoint of thermodynamic aspects of gravity.

We describe the set-up and relevant calculations in the next section; the perturbed free-particle energy eigenvalues are given in Eqs. (6), and the final results are given in Eqs. (9). In the final section, we conclude with a few remarks on implications of the result. In order-of-magnitude arguments, we will use $\mathcal{R}$ to denote typical magnitude of curvature tensor components.

II. THERMODYNAMICS OF BOX OF IDEAL GAS

Consider a box of gas, whose center is falling freely in a curved spacetime with 4-velocity u_{BOX} . The spacetime in a local neighbourhood of this trajectory can be constructed using Fermi normal coordinates, in which the metric takes the form [4]

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\hat{0}\hat{0}} &= -\left[(1 + a_\mu y^\mu)^2 + R_{\hat{0}\mu\hat{0}\nu}y^\mu y^\nu\right] + \mathcal{O}(y^3) \\ g_{\hat{0}\mu} &= -(2/3)R_{\hat{0}\rho\mu\sigma}y^\rho y^\sigma + \mathcal{O}(y^3) \\ g_{\mu\nu} &= \delta_{\mu\nu} - (1/3)R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}y^\rho y^\sigma + \mathcal{O}(y^3) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{a} = \nabla_{u_{\text{BOX}}} u_{\text{BOX}} = 0$ in our case. In these coordinates, $u_{\text{BOX}} = \partial_{\hat{0}}$ (i.e., the original trajectory is simply $y^\mu = 0$), and we define a “box” as a confined region with flat “coordinate” faces, i.e., $-(L_x/2) \leq x \leq +(L_x/2)$ and similarly for y and z . This box is filled with an ideal gas at temperature β^{-1} . The Hamiltonian for the constituent particles can be written in Fermi coordinates as

*Electronic address: dawood.ak@gmail.com

$H = -p_{\hat{0}}$, which can be motivated as follows. The action for a single particle can be written in covariant form as $\int p_i dx^i$. In Fermi coordinates, the time coordinate assigned to each point is the same as the proper time along the trajectory $\mathbf{u}_{\text{BOX}}$, say τ . It is then natural to define 3-velocities of the particles with respect to τ . Hence, the action can be split as $\int (p_{\hat{0}} + p_\mu dx^\mu/d\tau) d\tau$. Comparing this with the usual form of the action, we can immediately identify $H = -p_{\hat{0}}$. Note that this Hamiltonian is *different* from $H = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\text{BOX}}^{\parallel}$, where $\mathbf{u}_{\text{BOX}}^{\parallel}$ is $\mathbf{u}_{\text{BOX}}$ parallel transported to the location of the particle. This point is crucial for interpretation issues, and we will come back to it in a moment. We can write out the Hamiltonian explicitly in terms of the metric coefficients using $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p} = -m^2$; this gives

$$H = \frac{g^{\hat{0}\mu} p_\mu}{g^{\hat{0}\hat{0}}} + \sqrt{\frac{g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu + m^2}{-g^{\hat{0}\hat{0}}} + \left(\frac{g^{\hat{0}\mu} p_\mu}{g^{\hat{0}\hat{0}}}\right)^2} \quad (2)$$

Let us now get back to the point concerning physical significance of this Hamiltonian. Of course, choice of a Hamiltonian depends on the time coordinate along which the Hamiltonian generates the evolution. By definition of Fermi coordinates, this time coordinate is τ , and hence $H = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \partial_\tau$. In particular, since the metric is η_{ab} all along the trajectory in Fermi coordinates, this Hamiltonian correctly describes the additional “gravity” field which would appear for particles located away from the trajectory, taking the zero of gravity potential at

the trajectory. To illustrate the point, compare this with the case of a static box in Schwarzschild, with its center at some $r = r_0$. After some simple manipulations, it is easy to see that the Hamiltonian as constructed above becomes, in the non-relativistic limit, $H - mc^2 = p^2/2m + (GM/r_0^2)my$, which is the correct expression when the gravity potential at $r = r_0$ is chosen to be zero. In fact, when the spacetime has a timelike Killing vector, then the so called “energy at infinity” is what the above Hamiltonian gives; in this sense, the role of $\mathbf{u}_{\text{BOX}} = \partial_\tau$ above is the same as that of the Killing vector when available. These conceptual issues are also significant for what we mean by temperature $T = \beta^{-1}$. What β^{-1} represents is the temperature of a reservoir (say, a heating element) at the center of the box, which is our reference point. This β is therefore constant, unlike the Tolman temperature which is *defined* with respect to local energy of the particles. The above discussion is important to correctly describe a thermal equilibrium state as a Gibbs state in a curved spacetime. Another way to emphasize the physical aspect of this discussion is that, since heat has weight, a quantity of heat flowing from one point to another point at a different gravity potential will be changed in content by its gravitational potential energy, and hence the correct temperature assigned at a higher potential is lower than the temperature at a lower potential by the Tolman redshift factor.

In the non-relativistic limit, the Hamiltonian reduces to

$$H - mc^2 = \frac{p^2}{2m} \left(1 + R_{\hat{0}\mu\hat{0}\nu} y^\mu y^\nu\right) + \frac{1}{2} mc^2 R_{\hat{0}\mu\hat{0}\nu} y^\mu y^\nu + \frac{2}{3} R_{\hat{0}\nu\mu\rho} y^\nu y^\rho c p_\mu - \frac{1}{6} R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} y^\rho y^\sigma \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{2m} \quad (3)$$

Further, in the non-relativistic limit, terms which go as $\mathcal{R} y^2 \times (p/mc)^2$ are second order of smallness, and therefore can be ignored. Moreover, it follows from Einstein field equations that $\mathcal{R} \sim G\rho_m/c^2$ where ρ_m is mass density. Using all this, it is easy to see that, in the $c \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we are finally left with

$$H - mc^2 = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} mc^2 R_{\hat{0}\mu\hat{0}\nu} y^\mu y^\nu \quad (4)$$

Incidentally, this expression matches with the non-relativistic limit of Dirac Hamiltonian obtained by Parker

(see Eq. (9.13) in [5]), which provides further separate support for the arguments leading to it. In what follows, we shall ignore the time dependence carried by curvature components. This is a reasonable assumption if time scale on which curvature changes, $\mathcal{R}/\dot{\mathcal{R}}$, is much larger than typical time scale associated with the gas; we expect this to be the case at high enough temperature.

The energy eigenvalues can be easily found using first order perturbation theory, with the unperturbed eigenfunctions

$$\psi_{\{n_i\}}(\mathbf{y}) = \sqrt{\frac{8}{V}} \left\{ \prod_{i=1,3} \sin \left[\frac{2n_i \pi}{L_i} y_i \right] ; \prod_{i=1,3} \cos \left[\frac{(2n_i - 1) \pi}{L_i} y_i \right] \right\} \quad (5)$$

corresponding to different parities. Here $V = L_x L_y L_z$

is the box volume and $n_i = (1, \infty)$. We assume that

the sides of the box are incommensurable, so that non-degenerate perturbation theory can be used. Then one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\{n_i\}} &= \overset{\circ}{E}_{\{n_i\}} + \frac{1}{24}mc^2 \sum_{i=1,3} R_{\widehat{0i}\widehat{0i}} L_i^2 \left(1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2 n_i^2}\right) \\ \overset{\circ}{E}_{\{n_i\}} &= \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1,3} \frac{n_i^2}{L_i^2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The partition function for N particles, assuming Boltzmann statistics, is $Z = z^N/N!$ where z is the one particle partition function. This can be calculated by approximating intermediate sums as integrals, and assuming $\lambda^3/V \ll 1$, where $\lambda = h/\sqrt{2\pi mkT}$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength. First, define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} p(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\exp[-(\pi/4)s^2 n^2]}{n^2} \\ q(s) &= sp(s) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The behaviour of $q(s)$ can be deduced by properly approximating sums with integrals. However, as we shall see, the final results can be stated simply in terms of $q(s)$ and its derivatives at $s = 0$, so all that is required is that $q(s)$ be analytic at $s = 0$. Also, in what follows, terms of the form “ $R\lambda^3/L$ ” have been ignored, since they are small compared to the terms retained by factors of λ/L . The only sub-dominant term retained below is the one which is independent of box dimensions L_i and mass m of constituent particles, and hence expected to be of some

fundamental significance – indeed, it is this term which will turn out to have an interesting form.

The canonical partition function turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Z &= \ln Z_F + \beta N m c^2 \left\{ \left[\frac{q''(0)}{8\pi^2} \right] R_{\widehat{00}} \lambda^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1,3} \left(\left[\frac{q'(0)}{4\pi^2} \right] R_{\widehat{0i}\widehat{0i}} L_i \lambda - \frac{1}{24} R_{\widehat{0i}\widehat{0i}} L_i^2 \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\ln Z_F = \ln(V^N \lambda^{-3N}/N!)$ is the flat space expression. To put the final results in a neat form, it is convenient to introduce the following

DEFINITIONS:

$\Lambda = \beta \hbar c$ which is a length scale independent of mass m (unlike λ), and is therefore more fundamental

$\mathcal{R}_i = R_{\widehat{0i}\widehat{0i}}$ and $\delta_i = L_i/\lambda (\gg 1)$

$c_1 = -q''(0)/(2\pi)$ and $c_2 = q'(0)/(2\pi)$; numerical values of these are not relevant, but can be shown to be $c_1 = 1/2$, $c_2 = \pi/12$

$U_{\text{corr}} = U - U_F$ and $S_{\text{corr}} = S - S_F$, where $U_F = 3N/2\beta$ and $S_F = 3N/2 + N \ln(eV/N\lambda^3)$ are standard flat space expressions

It is now straightforward to use standard definitions $U = -\partial_\beta \ln Z$ and $S = \ln Z + \beta U$ to evaluate U_{corr} , S_{corr} and heat capacity at constant volume, $C_V = -\beta^2 \partial_\beta U = 3N/2 + C_{V\text{corr}}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2S_{\text{corr}}/N &= +c_1 R_{\widehat{00}} \Lambda^2 - c_2 \Lambda^2 \sum_{i=1,3} \mathcal{R}_i \delta_i \\ \beta U_{\text{corr}}/N &= +c_1 R_{\widehat{00}} \Lambda^2 - (1/24) c_2 \Lambda^2 \sum_{i=1,3} \mathcal{R}_i \delta_i (36 - 2\pi c_2^{-1} \delta_i) \\ C_{V\text{corr}}/N &= -c_1 R_{\widehat{00}} \Lambda^2 + (3/4) c_2 \Lambda^2 \sum_{i=1,3} \mathcal{R}_i \delta_i \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which are correct to $O(\delta_i^{-1})$. These are the final expressions we wanted to derive, with several features worth highlighting:

1. The L_i and m independent part of the corrections, which we denote by Ξ , depend only on $\Xi = R_{\widehat{00}} \Lambda^2$ with $R_{\widehat{00}} = R_{ab} u_{\text{BOX}}^a u_{\text{BOX}}^b$.
2. Further, S_Ξ and U_Ξ satisfy

$$S_\Xi = \frac{1}{2} \beta U_\Xi \quad (10)$$

where $S_\Xi = (N c_1/2) \Xi$ and so on. This is Euler relation of homogeneity two, and is well known from black hole thermodynamics; in particular, black hole horizons have temperature, entropy and energy which also satisfy $S = (1/2) \beta U$.

In fact, such a relation between S and U acquires importance in the *emergent gravity* viewpoint, since it can be interpreted as *equipartition law* for energy of microscopic degrees of freedom associated with spacetime horizons [6]. It is therefore quite intriguing that the Ξ correction terms, which appear due to quantum mechanics and are independent of sys-

tem details, have features in common with thermal features of spacetime horizons.

The relevance of such Euler relation and area scaling of entropy for self-gravitating systems has also been emphasized in [7].

3. The Ξ contribution to specific heat is *negative* if the strong-energy condition ($R_{00} \geq 0$) holds.

Incidentally, note that it is possible to incorporate finite size effects in the calculation rather simply by approximating $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\alpha n^2) \sim (\sqrt{\pi/\alpha} - 1)/2$ instead of just $\sqrt{\pi/\alpha}/2$ as is usually done; if one does this, the resultant corrections turn out to be of the same “form” as above with certain additional terms; in particular, the entropy correction gets an additional term of the form $c_0 A/\lambda^2$ where $c_0 = N\lambda^3/V$ and $A = 2(L_x L_y + L_y L_z + L_z L_x)$ is the total area of the box.

Finally, let us point out an important fact concerning the flat spacetime limit of the result. The curvature terms which appear in Fermi coordinates refer to the background spacetime, say $\mathcal{S}$, which must be evaluated on the trajectory $\mathbf{u}_{\text{box}}$ which is a geodesic of $\mathcal{S}$. However, since our system has finite energy, for consistency we must consider a geodesic in the spacetime comprising of background *and* the box contents, $\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the perturbation to $\mathcal{S}$ caused by the box contents. Therefore, even when $\mathcal{S}$ is flat, there will always be some contribution from curvature produced by energy (rest + thermal) in the box.

III. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

It is well known that thermal behaviour of systems with long-range interactions exhibit several peculiar features, and their statistical analysis requires considerable amount of care [8]. Some of these features such as negative specific heat and unequal “local” temperature in thermal equilibrium became more widely known since the discovery of thermodynamic aspects of black holes. However, these same aspects, which have their origin in quantum field theory in non-trivial coordinate systems, also indicate that one must take a closer look at *standard* thermodynamics in an external gravitational field. For example, temperature and entropy associated with local acceleration horizons are observer dependent, and one must therefore try to find a natural way to incorporate these inevitable features in conventional thermodynamics. These and related issues form the main motivation for the analysis in this note.

Let us first summarize the main steps of the calculation. We defined the Hamiltonian appropriately using Fermi coordinates, reduced it to the non-relativistic limit, and then moved over to quantum mechanics by using first order perturbation theory. We must emphasize that the

effect of the box is rather subtle, and is *not* just a pure finite size effect, since the Ξ terms are independent of L_i and m .

One context in which the result might be relevant is the so called generalized second law (GSL), which was formulated by Bekenstein [9] based on the fact that horizons have entropy (proportional to area). Quantum mechanics played a crucial role in Bekenstein’s analysis, since classically the minimum increase in entropy of horizon, when a particle (or a system) falls across it, is zero. In our case, entropy of the freely falling box of ideal gas acquires the additional term proportional to $\Xi = R_{00}\Lambda^2$ (besides other terms depending on details of the system). This term appeared because we used quantum mechanical wave functions to evaluate the perturbed energy eigenvalues and hence the partition function. However, note that the curvature correction in Eq. (6) does *not* have $\hbar$ in it – the only non-trivial aspect at this level is the dependence of energy eigenvalues on $1/n_i^2$. Nevertheless, quantum nature of the result is evident in the Ξ corrections which are $O(\hbar^2)$ since $\Lambda = O(\hbar)$. It would be interesting to investigate how (if at all) does this affect the analyses related to GSL.

It is, of course, important to generalize the result presented here to different systems, and also to the relativistic case. However, the fact that the relevant term depends only on the length scale $\Lambda = \beta\hbar c$ suggests that the result will survive in the relativistic limit (since it does not depend on mass).¹ A rigorous analysis of other systems (such as harmonic oscillator) confined in a box is complicated by the fact that even unperturbed energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are not known in analytic form. One hopes to arrive at a better understanding of deeper physical implications of the result provided such technical issues could be overcome. Incidentally, note that the time scale $\Delta t = \Lambda/c = \hbar/kT$ is the time uncertainty associated with thermal fluctuations of energy kT . There is a hint here of interplay between thermal and quantum fluctuations, but again, more work is needed to understand the underlying physics better.

I thank T Padmanabhan for discussion. This research is funded by NSERC of Canada, and Atlantic Association for Research in the Mathematical Sciences (AARMS).

Appendix A: Heat Capacity and Average Pressure

Another important observation can be made regarding average pressure of the gas. Pressure on the i -th face can be defined as $\beta P_i = (L_i/V)\partial \ln Z/\partial L_i$ since $V/L_i = A_{\perp i}$ is the transverse area of the face. If one defines

¹ In fact, a close look at the results in [5] indicates that relativistic corrections produce *qualitative* changes only in $l \neq 0$ energy levels of Hydrogen atom, which strengthens this belief further.

the correction to average pressure by $P = (1/3) \sum_i P_i = P_{\text{corr}} + P_{\text{F}}$, where $\beta P_{\text{F}} = N/V$ is the flat space expression, then one can easily show that

$$\beta P_{\text{corr}} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{V} \left(S_{\text{corr}} - \frac{1}{2} \beta U_{\text{corr}} \right) \quad (\text{A1})$$

As mentioned above, $S_{\Xi} = \frac{1}{2} \beta U_{\Xi}$. Hence, what the above expression says is that, the average pressure is contributed by those parts of S_{corr} and U_{corr} which *do not* satisfy $S = (1/2) \beta U$.² Using the above result, one can

write down the correction to equation of state of the gas:

$$\frac{\beta P V}{N} = 1 - \left(\frac{\Lambda}{6} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1,3} \mathcal{R}_i \delta_i (12c_2 + 2\pi\delta_i) \quad (\text{A2})$$

Note that the final pressure *does* depend on geometric features of the box. In fact, it might be possible to interpret the second term in the round brackets on RHS as additional pressure due to tidal forces acting on the box. Quantum mechanics adds to this the c_2 piece (note that $\Lambda^2 \delta_i \sim O(\hbar^1)$ while $\Lambda^2 \delta_i^2 \sim O(\hbar^0)$).

-
- [1] T. Padmanabhan, THERMODYNAMICAL ASPECTS OF GRAVITY: NEW INSIGHTS, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **73**, 046901 (2010).
 - [2] for a recent example of such an analysis, see: S. Kolekar, T. Padmanabhan, IDEAL GAS IN A STRONG GRAVITATIONAL FIELD: AREA DEPENDENCE OF ENTROPY, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 064034 (2011).
 - [3] B. L. Hu, GRAVITY AND NONEQUILIBRIUM THERMODYNAMICS OF CLASSICAL MATTER, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **20**, 697 (2011).
 - [4] F. Manasse, C. Misner, FERMI NORMAL COORDINATES AND SOME BASIC CONCEPTS IN DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 735 (1963).
 - [5] L. Parker, ONE-ELECTRON ATOM AS PROBE OF SPACETIME CURVATURE, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 1922 (1980).
 - [6] T. Padmanabhan, ENTROPY OF STATIC SPACETIMES AND MICROSCOPIC DENSITY OF STATES, *Class. Quan. Grav.* **21**, 4485 (2004). For recent generalization, see: T. Padmanabhan, EQUIPARTITION OF ENERGY IN THE HORIZON DEGREES OF FREEDOM AND THE EMERGENCE OF GRAVITY, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25**, 1129 (2010).
 - [7] J. Oppenheim, AREA SCALING ENTROPIES FOR GRAVITATING SYSTEMS, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 024020 (2002).
 - [8] D. Lynden-Bell, R. Wood, THE GRAVO-THERMAL CATASTROPHE IN ISOTHERMAL SPHERES AND THE ONSET OF RED-GIANT STRUCTURE FOR STELLAR SYSTEMS, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **138**, 495 (1968); T. Padmanabhan, STATISTICAL MECHANICS OF GRAVITATING SYSTEMS, *Phys. Rep.* **188**, 285 (1990).
 - [9] J. Bekenstein, BLACK HOLES AND ENTROPY, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973).